##### August 23, 2024

**TO:** All Interested Parties

**FROM:** Amrit Nagi, Staff Attorney

**RE: WEQ Activities Supporting OASIS**

The WEQ OASIS Subcommittee is currently evaluating Standards Request R24003, which proposes modifications to the WEQ OASIS Suite of Business Practice Standards to increase consistency and transparency in the designation of an agent by transmission customers to act on its behalf in conducting transactions for point-to-point transmission service on OASIS nodes. In developing a recommendation, the subcommittee intends, where possible, to leverage existing language addressing the designation of agents for Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) requests by transmission customers. During the most recent meeting, held on August 13, 2024, the WEQ OASIS Subcommittee continued to review an initial proposal for revisions to the standards, including changes to improve the visibility of the transmission customer-designated agent relationship by requiring documentation of such relationships on the OASIS node and establishing an approval process for agent designation requests. The subcommittee will continue this discussion and begin to discuss new draft new and revised standards language at its next meeting on September 17, 2024.

On August 13, 2024, the subcommittee voted out a no action recommendation on its 2024 WEQ Annual Plan assignment to review the need for modifications to the WEQ OASIS Suite of Business Practice Standards based on operational experience since industry implementation of WEQ Version 003.3, adopted by FERC in Order No. 676-J. The WEQ OASIS Subcommittee participants have been regularly discussing this area of standards development since the beginning of the year. Through these discussions, participants identified revisions to an illustrative diagram included in the WEQ-013-OASIS Implementation Guide Business Practice Standards to provide additional clarity and improve consistency between the diagram and the standards language. As the change is non-substantive, there was consensus among the participants that the revisions would be best addressed through the minor correction process and a no action recommendation was developed by the subcommittee.