**1. RECOMMENDED ACTION: EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Accept as requested |  | Change to Existing Practice |
|  | Accept as modified below | X | Status Quo |
| X | Decline |  |  |

**2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Per Request:** | | **Per Recommendation:** | |
|  | Initiation |  | Initiation |
|  | Modification |  | Modification |
|  | Interpretation |  | Interpretation |
|  | Withdrawal |  | Withdrawal |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Principle |  | Principle |
|  | Definition |  | Definition |
|  | Business Practice Standard |  | Business Practice Standard |
|  | Document |  | Document |
|  | Data Element |  | Data Element |
|  | Code Value |  | Code Value |
|  | X12 Implementation Guide |  | X12 Implementation Guide |
|  | Business Process Documentation |  | Business Process Documentation |

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**SUMMARY:**

The RMQ Information Requirements and Technical Electronic Implementation Subcommittee (IR/TEIS) reviewed the data used in the NAESB RXQ.7 Internet Electronic Transport Model Business Practices (proposed Cybersecurity Model Business Practices book through pending MC22006) for data fields that may no longer be utilized and determined that no action is needed on the recommendation.

**Recommended Standards:**

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

**a. Description of Request:**

Review the data used in the NAESB WGQ Internet Electronic Transport specification for data fields that may no longer be utilized and determine if these data fields can be removed

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

No action

**c. Business Purpose:**

**d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**

The RMQ IR/TEIS met jointly with the WGQ EDM Subcommittee on February 22, 2023 to vote out this no action recommendation. The motion passed unanimously without opposition.