**North American Energy Standards Board**

**Request for Initiation of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction**

**or**

**Enhancement of an Existing NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction**

**Instructions:**

 **1. Please fill out as much of the requested information as possible. It is mandatory to provide a contact name, phone number and fax number to which questions can be directed. If you have an electronic mailing address, please make that available as well.**

 **2. Attach any information you believe is related to the request. The more complete your request is, the less time is required to review it.**

 **3. Once completed, send your request to:**

 **Rae McQuade**

 **NAESB, President**

 **1415 Louisiana, Suite 3460**

 **Houston, TX 77002**

 **Phone: 713‑356‑0060**

 **Fax: 713‑356‑0067**

 **by either mail, fax, or to NAESB’s email address, naesb@naesb.org.**

**Once received, the request will be routed to the appropriate subcommittees for review.**

**North American Energy Standards Board**

**Request for Initiation of a NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction**

**or**

**Enhancement of an Existing NAESB Business Practice Standard, Model Business Practice or Electronic Transaction**

 Date of Request: Nov 24th 2024

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

 Enercross LLC on behalf of eight interstate gas shippers across various pipelines.

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

 Name : Jay Bhatty\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Title : CEO\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Phone : 713.999.1295\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Fax : n/a\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 E‑mail : Jay.Bhatty@Enercross.com\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Title and Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Title:

Enhancement Nominations Related Standards

NAESB WGQ Standard No. 1.4.5 - Scheduled Quantity:

Enhancement Description: Include missing pool volumes in the SQTS dataset: For certain pool noms where the shipper does not nominate the delivery side, these volumes are missing in the shipper's SQTS (Scheduled Quantity) dataset sent by the pipelines. This happens primarily for Eastern Gas, Columbia Gulf, Columbia Gas, and Enbridge pipes. We're proposing that all pipelines include these missing volumes in the SQTS dataset for the benefit of all gas shippers.

1. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

Multiple shippers who use the NAESB EDI Scheduled Quantity dataset have expressed an interest in receiving all volumes scheduled. Currently, certain pipes are excluding the pool volumes of shippers. Since the shipper only nominates one side (receipt or delivery) of a pool nom, the other side of the pool nom is nominated by the shipper on the downstream side. However, when certain pipelines send the Scheduled Quantity records for these pool noms, they do not include it for the shipper who nommed one side. This shipper needs to see his/her volumes on both side, not one side. In order to see the missing volumes, the shipper is forced to log into the EBB and scrape these scheduled quantities manually out of the EBB. This defeats the purpose of the EDI SQTS dataset. The pipeline should include all scheduled volumes belonging to a particular shipper regardless of which shipper (i.e. upstream or downstream shipper) submitted the nom. The net result is that the STQS dataset for certain shippers contains missing SQTS records.

Based on feedback received from multiple gas shippers and NAESB members (please see list below), we propose the missing SQTS records belonging to a shipper also be included in the SQTS dataset.

As a result, we request NAESB to take action and display leadership by making this change which would benefit all gas shippers and move the industry forward in terms of technological advancement by decreasing (as opposed to increasing) the time required to perform gas scheduling functions. It will also foster confidence and encourage more shippers to use NAESB EDI datasets which are safer (because they are encrypted) as opposed to manually scraped records which take longer to extract and are not encrypted.

1. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

**Tangible and Intangible Benefits of including missing records in the SQTS dataset**

Tangible Benefits:

Labor Savings: Eliminates manual extraction from the EBB, reducing personnel time.

Improved Accuracy: Ensures complete data sets, reducing errors from manual data collection.

Faster Processing: Provides all scheduled volumes promptly, speeding up scheduling functions.

Cost Reduction: Lowers operational expenses linked to manual data reconciliation.

Seamless Integration: Facilitates smooth data exchange with trade capture systems.

Intangible Benefits:

Enhanced Confidence: Builds trust in automated, encrypted EDI processes.

Market Transparency: Offers a full picture of scheduled volumes, fostering clearer communication.

User Satisfaction: Increases overall confidence and satisfaction among shippers.

Industry Advancement: Demonstrates technological leadership, encouraging broader EDI adoption.

**Request to NAESB**: Including missing SQTS records in the SQTS dataset would align with the technological expectations of today’s AI-driven world, ensuring that the industry not only keeps pace but leads in operational excellence and security. This change is both feasible and essential for advancing the industry.

Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Software/Systems Updates:

~6–12 developer hours; approx. $800–$1500 one-time.

Testing & Quality Assurance:

~2–4 hours; approx. $250–$500 one-time.

Documentation & Training:

Updates and sessions; approx. $1,000 one-time.

Integration & Ongoing Support:

Minor recurring costs; approx. $100 annually.

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):

The following trading partners are willing to test the new standard:

* 1. Symmetry Energy: Carrie Fye
	2. Shell North America Gas & Power: Danielle Foster
	3. EDF Trading North America: Terry Altenbaumer
	4. Emera Energy: Matt North
	5. Tennessee Valley Authority: Greg Filek
	6. ICE (Intercontinental Exchange) USA: Chakore Goodie
	7. ICE NGX Canada: Chakore Goodie

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners :

All Kinder Morgan family of pipelines include missing records in the SQTS datasets

10. Attachments (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_