

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

R98038

Date of Request: September 28, 1998

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company
20 East Greenway Plaza
Houston, Texas 77046-2002

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

Sandra Barnett
Business System Analyst
(713) 544-5732
(713) 544-9180
barnetts@kochind.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company requests that an ANSI ASC X12 document be created to allow the Transportation Service Provider to send out a "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning transaction prior to the end of the confirmation process. This information would also be displayed on the Transportation Service Provider's EBB/EDM for a given scheduling period for a particular Service Requester.

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning document would be used by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) to inform the Service Requesters of the TSP's intent to utilize this method of confirmation for a specified scheduling period when the confirmation quantity does not exist, the meter does not have an authorized Confirmation by Exception Agreement between the TSP and the Service Requester or the nomination quantity is greater than the previously scheduled quantity. This communication would occur prior to the confirmation deadline for a scheduling cycle. The Service Requester may then send in an unsolicited Confirmation Response document as a response document to this

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

notification. The use of this document would be on a Sender's Option basis as a service being provided by the TSP to its Service Requesters.

5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

This electronic warning would provide notice to Service Requesters of the intent of the Transportation Service Provider to utilize the "Lesser of Rule" during the confirmation process. This notification will then allow the Service Requesters to better evaluate their need to send in an unsolicited Confirmation Response document with the quantity to be scheduled or contact the TSP if they do not agree on the quantity specified in the notification document.

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

The "Lesser of Rule" Confirmation Warning is a customer service document that would be provided by the Transportation Service Provider (TSP) when applicable. This request is to create an electronic format for the document. The cost to implement would vary upon the number of TSPs utilizing this process.

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:

None

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):

None.

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners :

Not currently in use.

10. Attachments (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Technical Implementation of Business Process

The “Lesser of Rule” Confirmation Warning transaction, sent from the **transportation service provider** to the **service requester**, informs the service requester the nomination received for a location has not been confirmed and the “lesser of rule” will be applied during the subsequent confirmation process between the nomination and the previously scheduled quantity for that nomination line item.

“Lesser of Rule” confirmation quantities are grouped by **location, service requester contract** and effective date (**beginning date, beginning time, ending date, ending time**). Within these grouping, there may be one or more line items of nomination quantities. Only those nominations received that were not confirmed, the meter does not have an authorized Confirmation by Exception Agreement between the TSP and the Service Requester or the nomination quantity is greater than the previously scheduled quantity will appear on this document. This is an exception only process to be sent to the service requester prior to scheduling of nominations for a particular cycle.

The “Lesser of Rule” Confirmation Warning transaction includes a **statement date/time** which informs the receiver of the transaction of the date and time that the “Lesser of Rule” Confirmation Warning transaction was generated. This allows the receiver to determine the most current transaction in those instances where he receives more than one Confirmation Warning transaction for the same nomination line item.

The **quantity** is always expressed as a daily (gas day) quantity, even for intraday nominations.

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

**Draft
Data Dictionary
“Lesser of Rule” Confirmation Warning**

Standard 1.4.x

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Beginning Date	This is the date that the transaction is to be initiated. It includes the century.	M	
Beginning Time	This is the time that a transaction is to be initiated.	M	If the Beginning Time is not sent, the time defaults to the beginning of the gas day.
Confirming Party*	The party confirming the nominated quantities at a point. This does not represent a third party 'agent' (which would be identified in the ISA).	M	
Contractual Flow Indicator	Indicates the logical direction of flow at a point from the confirmation requester originator's perspective. May be different than physical flow.	M	
Delivery Rank (Priority)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the flange at the delivery location. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2,3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	MA	
Downstream Contract Identifier	This field identified the contract of the party who is receiving the quantities from the service requester.	SO	
Downstream Identifier Code*	This field identifies the party who is receiving the	C	Required if 'Delivery' is specified in Contractual

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

	quantities from the service requester.		Flow Indicator
Downstream Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier which corresponds to the Package ID on the downstream Transportation Service Provider.	MA	
Ending Date	This is the last date that the transaction is to finish. It includes the century.	M	
Ending Time	This is the time at which the transaction is to finish. If the Ending Time is not sent, the time defaults to the end of the gas day.	M	
Location*	The location where the quantity will be scheduled by the transportation service provider.	M	
Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier used to differentiate between discrete business transactions.	C	Mandatory when present and processed in the nomination.
Quantity	The amount expressed is a quantity per gas day in standard units.	M	
Receipt Rank (Priority)	This is the scheduling rank (priority) assigned to the quantities on the upstream side of the flange at the delivery location. Priority 1 means the highest priority. Priorities 2,3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be scheduled on a pro rata basis.	MA	
Service Requester*	Identifies the party requesting the service, or their agent.	M	
Service Requester Contract	This is the contract under which service is being requested.	M	
Statement	Date and Time Statement	M	

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

Date/Time	was produced.		
Transportation Service Provider*	Identifies the party providing the requested service.	M	
Upstream Contract Identifier	This field identifies the contract of the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.	SO	
Upstream Identifier Code*	This field identifies the party who is supplying the quantities to the service requester.	C	Required if 'Receipt' is specified in Contractual Flow Indicator.
Upstream Package ID	Service Requester assigned identifier which corresponds to the Package ID on the upstream Transportation Service Provider.	MA	

*Indicates Common Code