

R98031 – Revised 10/6/98

Gas Industry Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

or

Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Date of Request: September 23, 1998

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company
1001 Louisiana Street
Houston, TX 77002

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

Name :	Mark Gracey	Ann Martin
Title :	Manager, Business Processes	Manager, Business Processes
Phone :	(713) 420-2466	(713) 420-3126
Fax :	(713) 420-3294	(713) 420-2827
E-mail :	GraceyM@EPEnergy.com	MartinA@EPEnergy.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

Develop an EDI dataset to allow Confirming Parties to elect to "Confirm by Exception" as provided for in 1.2.11 and 1.3.22. This would be classified as a "new transaction."

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

The purpose of this data set is to provide the Confirming Parties using **EDI** the ability to agree to Confirmation by Exception. As stated in 1.2.11, "Confirmation by Exception (CBE) means that the Confirming Parties agree that one party deems that all requests at a location are confirmed by the other party (the CBE party) without response communication from that party. The CBE party can take exception to the request by so informing the other party within a mutually agreed upon time frame."

5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

When the Confirming Parties submit the Confirmation by Exception dataset, they are advising the Confirmation Requester of their desire to be confirmed for all quantities requested.

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

n/a

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:

n/a

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):

**Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions or
Request for Enhancement of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions**

Page 4

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners :

not currently in use

10. Attachments (such as : further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):

Data Elements for Request from Confirming Party

Confirming Party	(M)
Begin Date	(M)
End Date	(MA)
Location	(MA)
All locations	(MA)
Chart-time	(MA)
Cycle 1 Intraday	(MA)
Cycle 2 Intraday	(MA)
Evening Cycle	(MA)
Hourlies	(MA)
All Times	(MA)
Service Requester	(MA)

The above data elements allow the confirming party to specify the date the CBE is to start and they can submit an ending date if desired. If none is submitted, the CBE will remain in effect until they change it.

The other data elements allow the Confirming Party to submit a CBE for a specific meter(s) for a specific time (chart-time, intraday, hourly) or combination of times as well as for a specific service requester. Should they want to submit a CBE for all locations and all times, that possibility is also included.

The CBE's will be submitted for the next gas day; they cannot be submitted for an intraday for that gas day. Overlapping CBE's are allowed.

Choosing CBE does not prevent the Confirming Party from submitting a Confirmation Response.

The quick response back to the Confirming Party would be validation error messages:

- retroactive CBE's not allowed
- Invalid location