

Gas Industry Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions
or
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Date of Request: October 11, 1996

1. Submitting Entity & Address:

PanEnergy Corp
5400 Westheimer Court
Houston TX 77056

2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:

Name: Kim Van Pelt
Title: Lead Systems Analyst
Phone: (713)627-5566
Fax: (713)627-4066
Email: kvanpelt@panenergy.com

Name: Joyce Phillips
Title: System Manager
Phone: (713)627-5631
Fax: (713)627-5041
Email: johillip@panenergy.com

3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

PanEnergy Corp pipelines (Algonquin Gas Transmission, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, and Trunkline Gas Company) request that an ANSI ASC X12 document be created for the confirmation request originator to respond with errors and/or warnings (if applicable) to the confirmation response document.

4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standards, and required communication protocols):

The Confirmation Response Quick Response document would be used by the confirmation request originator to relay the status of the confirmation response to the confirmation response originator. The confirmation requester would send the Request for Confirmation and the confirmation responder would return the Confirmation Response. Once the confirmation requester processes the Confirmation Response, a Confirmation Response Quick Response would be generated and returned to the confirmation responder. The quick response would contain a status of the transaction set and the confirmation line items within and error(s)/warning(s), if applicable. This would be especially useful if the confirmation response differs from the request for confirmation in some vital way (increased quantity, longer date range, etc.).

The transaction set would be implemented in a similar manner as the Nomination Quick Response and the Pre-Determined Allocation Quick Response. The validation criteria would have to be determined.

5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

See number 4 above

6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:

The specific costs of implementation would be company implementation specific. The costs of not implementing it outweigh the costs of implementing for the PanEnergy pipelines. See number 7 below

7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:

Not implementing this data set would be detrimental to the spirit of the computer to computer communication offered with exchanging electronic documents. The confirmation process would remain a manual process. If a confirmation requester has to contact the confirmation responder to ultimately complete the confirmation process what would be the point of ever sending an electronic request in the first place?

8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):

We have not contacted trading partners at this time.

9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who Are the Trading Partners:

Not Currently in Use

10. Attachments (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions)

Please refer to the GISB Nominations Related Standards Nomination Quick Response and the Flowing Gas Related Standards Pre-determined Allocation Quick Response ANSI ASC X12 examples.