

Subj: NO SUBJECT
Date: 96-11-04 08:45:25 EST
From: cstodola@columbiaenergy e-mail.com (Charles Stodola)
To: GISB@AOL.COM

GISB

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OR INTERPRETATION

Date: November 4, 1996

Requester Name: Chuck Stodola

Company: Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.
1700 MacCorkle Avenue
Charleston, WV 25314

Phone 304-357-2498

Fax 304-357-2304

E-mail: cstodola@columbiaenergy.e-mail.com

GISB Standard Number: Flowing Gas Related Standards
Data Element: Preparer ID

Clarification or Interpretation:

Preparer ID is identified with an asterisk (*). This indicates that the value must be a common code. The definition states that the data element is The name and address of the business party preparing the report. Address cannot be a common code. Also, address is not mapped on the DATA ELEMENT CROSS REFERENCE TO ASC X12, and it is not in the EDISIM document.

Is the Preparer ID only a business party ID? Or is it also the address?

If Preparer ID is also the address, the implementation guide must be changed.

Possible interpretations or clarifications, if known.

Gas Industry Standards Board
Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions
or
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

2

4. **Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement** (include how the standard will be used, documentation on the description of the proposed standard, any existing documentation of the proposed standard, and required communication protocols):

The proposed standards above will be used to allow a pipeline to track the source of a receipt through several transfers, to the actual physical supplier of gas, which the pipeline must know in order to confirm such receipts.

5. **Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Allows tracking of actual physical supplier of gas received on pipeline.

6. **Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard Enhancement:**

None, except cost of printing and distribution via GISB mechanisms (EBB, Paper, etc.).

7. **Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

None

8. **If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):**

MRT and Trading Partners who choose to use X.12 will test the changes.

9. **If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who are the Trading Partners:**

N/A.

10: **Attachments** (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X.12 mapped transactions):

None.