



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Williams Gas Pipeline

Request No.: R99044

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: * EII Task Force (July 14, 1999)
 * Add code value description for the Transaction Type in the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Shipper Imbalance, PDA, Allocation and Invoice.

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Nomination, 1.4.1
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Pre-determined Allocation, 2.4.1
 Allocation, 2.4.3
 Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4
 Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Williams Gas Pipeline

Request No.: R99044

Data Element: Transaction Type

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
<i>Backhaul</i>	<i>Movement of gas from a point on the pipeline to a point that is upstream on the pipeline. Usually used by transportation service providers that employ the 'non-pathed model' nomination Model Type.</i>	<u>55</u>

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Nomination (1.4.1)
 Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)
 Pre-determined Allocation (2.4.1)
 Allocation (2.4.3)
 Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)
 Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)

Description of Change:
G850NMST - Nomination (1.4.1)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul
G865SQTS - Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul
G860PDAL - Pre-determined Allocation (2.4.1)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul
G865ALLC - Allocation (2.4.3)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul
G811IMBL - Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul
G811TSIN - Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Williams Gas Pipeline Request No.: R99044

Transaction Set Tables

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail - HL03 = '9')" table: For data element Transaction Type, add following code value and code value description in numerical order by code value: 55 - Backhaul

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Add Transaction Type code value description 'Backhaul' to the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Shipper Imbalance, Invoice and other documents where the Transaction Type appears.

b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force (July 14, 1999)

Motion: Transfer Request No. R99044 to the Information Requirements Subcommittee.

Discussion: Mr. Keisler explained the request and because the request was to add codes to an existing data element and did not create a new business practice or amend an existing one, it was recommended to send the request directly to the Information Requirements Subcommittee.

Action: Passed unanimously.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

IR Implementation:

It was noted that some parties can determine whether a transaction is a backhaul by the receipt and delivery points that are being utilized. The requester stated that this is needed for non-pathed transactions. For the requester's implementation, the 'Backhaul' designation would be applied to the delivery point. For non-pathed transactions there could be different solutions when determining which delivery point is the backhaul transaction. This additional Transaction Type allows the customer the flexibility to determine which delivery transaction is the backhaul.

When asked how they verify a nomination transaction that is designated as a 'Backhaul', the requester stated that they verify that the service requester has sufficient receipt quantities downstream of the delivery point.

MOTION:

- ◆ Add the following code value description for the Transaction Type in the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Shipper Imbalance, PDA, Allocation and Transportation/Sales Invoice.

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Backhaul	Movement of gas from a point on the pipeline to a point that is upstream on the pipeline. Usually used by transportation	

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Williams Gas Pipeline

Request No.: R99044

service providers that employ the ‘non-pathed model’ nomination Model Type.

Sense of the Room: September 13 – 14, 1999 6 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: September 21, 1999 5 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: This Transaction Type is particularly useful on a non-pathed pipeline. Since the receipt and delivery points are not paired on a single transaction, the haul type is not identifiable from the transaction. Therefore, the requested Transaction Type is needed to tell whether to charge standard or backhaul rates.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

It was noted that some parties can determine whether a transaction is a backhaul by the receipt and delivery points that are being utilized. The requester stated that this is needed for non-pathed transactions. For the requester’s implementation, the ‘Backhaul’ designation would be applied to the delivery point. For non-pathed transactions there could be different solutions when determining which delivery point is the backhaul transaction. This additional Transaction Type allows the customer the flexibility to determine which delivery transaction is the backhaul.