



RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Tennessee Gas Pipelines Request No.: R99028

1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:

- Decline the request as no changes need to be made to accommodate the request.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Request for GISB to determine if an EDI dataset is needed for the following information that is to be displayed on an Entities Web site:
 Plants meter list



RECOMMENDATION TO NAESB WGQ EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Tennessee Gas Pipelines Request No.: R99028

b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB Internet Implementation Subcommittee

Motion:

IR52: EII declines to develop an EDI data set for the Plants Meter List and EII instructs IR to accommodate the mutually agreeable business practice of providing a plants meter list through a flat file download.

Balanced Vote: March 9, 1999 3.91 In Favor 1.09 Opposed

Table with 7 columns: Vote, For, Against, Total, Balanced For, Balanced Against, Balanced Total. Rows include End Users, LDCs, Pipelines, Producers, Services, and a total row.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

The requester does not support flat files and has no plans to begin doing so. Additionally, at the time the EIITF instructions were developed, there were no NAESB standardized formats for flat files. Subsequently, NAESB developed NAESB WGQ Standards 4.3.80 – 4.3.84, 4.1.33 – 35, 4.1.38, 4.2.12, 4.2.18, and 4.2.19. As a result, it was determined that no additional changes need to be made because the data can be accommodated utilizing the existing flat file format standards and existing data element names (including abbreviations).

MOTION:

No additional changes are necessary to accommodate IR Instruction 52.

Balanced Vote: November 13, 2002 3.00 In Favor 0.00 Opposed

Table with 7 columns: Vote, For, Against, Total, Balanced For, Balanced Against, Balanced Total. Rows include End Users, LDCs, Pipelines, Producers, Services, and a total row.