

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-1**

**1. Recommended Action:**

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

**Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

**2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

**Per Request:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**Per Recommendation:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination, 1.4.1  
Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5

<b>Business Name</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>Code Value</b>	<b>Code Value Description</b>	<b>Code Value Definition</b>
Capacity Type Indicator	MA	PP	Primary to Primary	<i>Specifies primary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.</i>
		PS	Primary to Secondary	<i>Specifies primary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.</i>
		SS	Secondary to Secondary	<i>Specifies secondary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.</i>
		SP	Secondary to Primary	<i>Specifies secondary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.</i>

	<b>RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE</b>			
	<b>Requester: ANR Pipeline</b>		<b>Request No.: R96121 A-1</b>	
		IT	Interruptible	<i>Specifies interruptible capacity.</i>

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:**

<b>Description of Change:</b>
No Technical Changes Needed

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

**a. Description of Request:**

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

**Executive Committee**

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:**

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

**PROCEDURAL VOTE:**

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**Sense of the Room:** March 5, 1997      17 In Favor      0 Opposed  
**Segment Check** (if applicable):  
 In Favor:      2 End-Users      4 LDCs      5 Pipelines      3 Producers      3 Services  
 Opposed:         End-Users         LDCs         Pipelines         Producers         Services

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-1**

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort.

**R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

**Sense of the Room:** August 18, 1997        12   In Favor        0   Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:         End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

Opposed:         End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

**Capacity Type Indicator data element codes:**

**Primary to Primary:** Specifies primary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.

**Primary to Secondary:** Specifies primary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.

**Secondary to Secondary:** Specifies secondary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.

**Secondary to Primary:** Specifies secondary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.

**Interruptible:** Specifies interruptible capacity.

**Tertiary to Primary:** Specifies tertiary receipt capacity to primary delivery capacity.  
[current proposed definition, see R97039. EC approved 9/12/97]

**Tertiary to Secondary:** Specifies tertiary receipt capacity to secondary delivery capacity.  
[current proposed definition see R97039. EC approved 9/12/97]

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-1**

**Motion:** Adopt the definitions for the above listed Capacity Type Indicator codes (except those from R97039):

**Sense of the Room:** September 10, 1997    10 In Favor                    0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:           End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

Opposed:           End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

**Technical Subcommittee**

**Sense of the Room:** October 29, 1997                    6 In Favor                    0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:           End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

Opposed:           End-Users       LDCs       Pipelines       Producers       Services

**c. Business Purpose:**

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**

IR: Code Value Definitions drafted and approved without opposition at IR.