

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: 96067

Type of Request (check all that apply) (E-5):

- A-3 New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1 New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6 Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2 New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2 Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
- Revision to Business Process Documentation
- Revision to X12
- A-4 New Business Practice Standard
- A-5 Revision to Business Practice Standard

Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4): Add new code values to the Capacity Type Indicator in the nomination to delineate further capacity types.

On some Transportation Service Providers a customer can nominate on their firm agreement out of balance as primary firm or interruptible. The current transaction set does not have a capacity type of primary firm, this addition will allow support of this service.

Note: Based on discussion in the November 21, 1996 METF Meeting regarding the fact that Capacity Type was a Mutually Agreed (MA) field and could not be mandated to achieve a level of service, the request was modified by the Northern Natural representative to be an addition of Transaction Type codes as opposed to Capacity Type Indicator codes. These were as follows:

Imbalance Payback from Pipeline - Firm
Imbalance Payback from Pipeline - Interruptible
Imbalance Payback to Pipeline - Firm
Imbalance Payback to Pipeline - Interruptible

Applicable Documents: Nomination, Scheduled Quantity

Associated Revisions: Relevant error messages.

Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language: No.

Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? Yes.
If So, State Task Force Referred To Market Settlement Task Force

Sense of the Room Results: 12 In Favor; 1 Opposed
As modified as described above, per "Sense of the Room" in the November 21, 1996 METF Meeting.

Executive Committee Sponsor: Norm Walker.

GISB Subcommittee/Task Force: Market Execution Task Force.

Requester: Northern Natural Gas Company

Due Date (E-6): 12/97

**CODE VALUES
PROPOSED REVISIONS**

REQUEST # 96067

Note: Request proposal as modified at November 21, 1996 METF Meeting.

Business Name	Data Element Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Transaction Type	M	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 67A 67B 67C 67D	Current Business (default) Authorized Overrun Imbalance Payback from Pipeline Imbalance Payback to Pipeline Plant Thermal Reduction Injection Withdrawal Pooling <i>Imbalance Payback from Pipeline - Firm</i> <i>Imbalance Payback from Pipeline - Interruptible</i> <i>Imbalance Payback to Pipeline - Firm</i> <i>Imbalance Payback to Pipeline - Interruptible</i>