

# RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96032

Type of Request (check all that apply) (E-5):

- A-3  New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1  New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6  Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2  New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2  Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
- Revision to Business Process Documentation
- Revision to X12
- A-4  New Business Practice Standard
- A-5  Revision to Business Practice Standard

**Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4):** This request deals with code values for two data elements -- 'Reduction Reason' and 'Transaction Type'. Therefore, METF addressed as two separate requests and took a Sense of the Room for each set of code values. Many of the requested code values are either covered by an existing code value or were withdrawn by CNG (listed below). The attached tables reflect the new code values. The official definitions will be developed by METF at a later date.

The additional 'Reduction Reason' code values will be used to specify the reason that a nomination quantity has been rejected or reduced.

The additional 'Transaction Type' code values will be used to nominate different types of transactions.

Requested code values that are withdrawn or covered by existing code values:

Reduction Reasons:	Prior Period Adjustment	withdrawn
	Poor Shipper Credit	existing 'Credit Issues'
	Cross Reference Nom Does Not Exist	existing 'Contract Balancing'
	Capacity Recalled	existing 'Exceeded Contract MDQ'
	Customer Request	withdrawn
	Previous Imbalance Exists	existing 'Contract Balancing'
	True Up Enforced	existing 'Contract Balancing'

Transaction Types:	OBA - Current Month Correction	existing 'Imbalance Payback from Pipeline' and 'Imbalance Payback to Pipeline'
	Manual - Internal Only	withdrawn
	Overrun for Storage Injection	existing 'Injection with Authorized Overrun'
	Overrun for Storage Withdrawal	existing 'Withdrawal with Authorized Overrun'
	Parking	existing 'Park'
	OBA - Prior Month Correction	existing 'Imbalance Payback from Pipeline' and 'Imbalance Payback to Pipeline'
	Swing for GSS with FTNN (Storage)	withdrawn
	Swing for Pooling	withdrawn
	Seasonal Overrun for Storage Injection	withdrawn
	Swing for OBA	withdrawn
	Storage Transfer - Injection Party	withdrawn
	Storage Transfer - Withdrawal Party	withdrawn

**Applicable Documents:** Nomination (Transaction Type), Scheduled Quantity (Transaction Type and Reduction Reason)

**Associated Revisions:** N/A

**Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language:** No

**Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? If So, State Task Force Referred:** Possibly. Referred to Market Settlement Task Force.

**Sense of the Room Results:** 20 In Favor; 0 Opposed (results were the same for both Transaction Type and Reduction Reason)

**Executive Committee Sponsor:** Norm Walker

**GISB Subcommittee/Task Force:** Market Execution Task Force

**Requester:** CNG Transmission

**Due Date (E-6):** 3/97

**CODE VALUES  
PROPOSED REVISIONS**

**REQUEST # R96032**

**Nomination (Usage “M”) & Scheduled Quantity (Usage “C”) --**

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Transaction Type		Meter Bounce (Was requested as ‘Storage Fly By’. METF suggests rename.)	TBD -- Describes a “fly by” or “bounce” situation where gas changes contracts at an interconnect, but does not leave the TSP’s system. However, the interconnecting TSP monitors the “bounce”.
Transaction Type		Storage Inventory Cycling (Was requested as ‘Must Turn Provision’. METF suggests rename.)	TBD -- Applies to storage injection and withdrawal cycling requirements.

**CODE VALUES  
PROPOSED REVISIONS**

**REQUEST # R96032**

**Scheduled Quantity --**

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description
Reduction Reason	SO	Confirmation Response Not Received for Delivery Location (Was requested as ‘Delivery Operator Did Not Confirm’. METF suggests rename.)	TBD -- For a pathed nomination, the Service Requester needs to know whether the Confirmation Response that was not received is for the receipt or delivery location.
Reduction Reason	SO	Confirmation Response Not Received for Receipt Location (Was requested as ‘Receipt Operator Did Not Confirm’. METF suggests rename.)	TBD -- For a pathed nomination, the Service Requester needs to know whether the Confirmation Response that was not received is for the receipt or delivery location.
Reduction Reason	SO	Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location	TBD -- For a pathed nomination, the Service Requester needs to know whether the quantity that was reduced is for the receipt or delivery location.
Reduction Reason	SO	Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location	TBD -- For a pathed nomination, the Service Requester needs to know whether the quantity that was reduced is for the receipt or delivery location.
Reduction Reason	SO	Storage Ratchet Provision	TBD --