

# RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96026

Type of Request(check all that apply) (E-5):

- A-3  New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1  New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6  Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2  New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2  Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
- Revision to Business Process Documentation
- Revision to X12
- A-4  New Business Practice Standard
- A-5  Revision to Business Practice Standard

**Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4):**There are existing error code values for missing upstream and downstream contract identifiers, but there are no error code values for invalid identifiers. It is possible that the Service Provider may be able to validate this information. Therefore, two additional error code values are necessary.

Upstream and downstream ranks are mutually agreed (MA) fields. If the two parties have agreed to use this field and the Service Requester does not provide it on the Nomination, an error code value is needed to designate this on the Quick Response.

**Applicable Documents:**Quick Response

**Associated Revisions:**N/A

**Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language:** No

**Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? If So, State Task Force Referred:**T&O

**Sense of the Room Results:** 12 In Favor; 0 Opposed

**Executive Committee Sponsor:**Norm Walker

**GISB Subcommittee/Task Force:**Market Execution Task Force

**Requester:** NrG Information Services

**Due Date (E-6):** 3/97

**CODE VALUES  
PROPOSED REVISIONS**

**REQUEST # R96026**

**Quick Response --**

<b>Business Name</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>Code Value</b>	<b>Code Value Description</b>
Validation Code	M (C)	Invalid Downstream Contract Identifier	
Validation Code	M (C)	Invalid Upstream Contract Identifier	
Validation Code	M (C)	Upstream Rank Missing	
Validation Code	M (C)	Downstream Rank Missing	