

Ed Skiba

From: Andy Rodriquez [Andy.Rodriquez@nerc.net]
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 9:00 AM
To: Ed Skiba; Akens, Larry G
Cc: Jim Busbin; Kathy York; Terry Bilke
Subject: RE: NAESB WEQ Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting

Ed,

The BCSDT meeting went well... there were only a couple areas that team had concern with.

The first concern is more of a coordination concern. While the team agrees that as written, the Time Error Correction business practice is not in conflict with the current business practices, it *will* conflict with the Field Test that has been discussed with the TIM_TF chair. The BACSDT would like to discuss this item with the TIM_TF on a joint call. In general, they would like to see language in the BP that allows for the use of offsets smaller than .020Hz, but would like to talk about the actual language with the group.

The second concern should be pretty easy to fix, as it looks like an oversight. Unilateral Inadvertent Payback method 2 could be interpreted to allow a relaxing of the CPS criteria. It also includes some language related to Time Error, which the SDT believes could be misleading. The SDT would like to see the language changed to read as follows:

007-1.1.4 Unilateral Payback (Method 2)

Method 2 may only be utilized whenever the Balancing Authority's Inadvertent Interchange Balance and Time Error have the same sign. Method 2 unilateral Inadvertent payback must end if a Time Error Correction is initiated. Payback Method 2 may be accomplished by either of the following methods:

- 007-1.1.4.1** An offset of scheduled frequency of +0.02 Hz in the ACE equation used for control (but not NERC reporting). This will result in a non-zero reporting ACE. A non-zero ACE ensures that the unilateral payback is accounted for in the CPS calculations.
- 007-1.1.4.2** An offset of the Net Interchange Scheduled value of the control ACE equation (but not NERC reporting ACE) of 5MW or 20% of the Balancing Authority Bias, whichever is greater. This will result in a non-zero reporting ACE. A non-zero ACE ensures that the unilateral payback is accounted for in the CPS calculations.

The "Non-zero" language is almost identical to language already in Method 1, so hopefully, it will not be contentious. Please let me know some times that work for you, and we can set up a call to discuss.

Andy Rodriquez
Manager, Business Practice Coordination
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
Washington, D.C. Office: 202-393-3998
Mobile: 609-947-3885
andy.rodriquez@nerc.net

From: Ed Skiba [<mailto:ESkiba@midwestiso.org>]

11/17/2008

Sent: Saturday, November 15, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Andy Rodriquez
Cc: Jim Busbin; York, Katherine E; Terry Bilke
Subject: NAESB WEQ Business Practices Subcommittee Meeting

Andy,

The Business Practices Subcommittee is meeting on Monday. In the meeting we are going to review the formal comments submitted for the Time and Inadvertent Management Recommendation. Was the BAC Standards Drafting Team able to draft a document outlining their concerns that the recommendation will have on the existing NERC Reliability Standards? If I remember correctly the BAC SDT was meeting on November 13-14. I'd like to give the BPS the opportunity to review whatever concerns the BAC SDT may have regarding the recommendation during meeting on November 17th so that we can prepare for the joint NERC/NAESB call. Any documentation you can provide from the BAC SDT in advance of the BPS meeting would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Ed Skiba Tech. Mgr.
Standards Compliance & Strategy
Midwest ISO
P.O. 4202
Carmel, IN 46082-4202
Phone: 317-249-5377
Email: ESkiba@MidwestISO.org