



NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

NERC-NAESB-ISO/RTO Council Joint Interface Committee Conference Call

October 5, 2005

Dial-In Information

Time: 3–5 p.m. EDT
Telephone: 866-740-1260
Access Code: 3560061
Security Code: 7342
Facilitator: Don Benjamin

Meeting Agenda

1. Administrative Items

- a. Introductions
- b. Roster and Membership Changes
- c. Establish Quorum
- d. Antitrust Guidelines
- e. Prior Meeting Minutes
- f. Agenda

2. Proposed Business Practice Standards

None

3. Proposed Reliability Standards

Standards Authorization Request, “Provide Missing Measures and Compliance Elements in Existing Standards”

4. Other Business

- a. Expected Standards Authorization and Business Practices Requests
- b. Future Meetings and Conference Calls
- c. Other Business

5. Adjourn

A New Jersey Nonprofit Corporation

Phone 609-452-8060 ■ Fax 609-452-9550 ■ URL www.nerc.com

Item 1. Administration

These are our standard administration items:

- a. Introductions
- b. Roster and Membership Changes
- c. Establish Quorum
- d. Antitrust Guidelines
- e. Minutes of July 21-22, 2005 meeting
- f. Agenda

Action

Approve Minutes of the July 21-22, 2005 JIC meeting.

Attachments

Joint Interface Committee Roster

Minutes of the July 21-22, 2005 JIC meeting

Joint Interface Committee (NERC-NAESB-IRC)

Co-Chair	Linda Campbell Director of Reliability	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council 1408 N. Westshore Boulevard Suite 1002 Tampa, Florida 33607-4512	(813) 289-5644 (813) 289-5646 Fx lcampbell@ frcc.com
Co-Chair	Michael Desselle Director - Public Policy	American Electric Power 1201 Elm Street Suite 800 Dallas, Texas 75270	(214) 777-1119 (214) 777-1119 Fx mddesselle@ aep.com
Co-Chair	Karl Tammar Administrator of Industry Affairs	New York Independent System Operator 3890 Carman Road Schenectady, New York 12303	(518) 356-6205 (518) 356-6118 Fx ktammar@ nyiso.com
NAESB Representative	John A. Anderson President and CEO	Electricity Consumers Resource Council 1333 H Street, N.W. 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20005	(202) 682-1390 (202) 289-6370 Fx janderson@ elcon.org
NAESB Representative	Syd Berwager Industry Restructuring Project Manager	Bonneville Power Administration P.O. Box 3621 Portland, Oregon 97232	(503) 230-5657 (503) 230-3270 Fx sdbewager@ bpa.gov
NAESB Alternate	Edward J. Davis Policy Consultant	Entergy Services, Inc. L-MOB-18E P.O. Box 61000 New Orleans, Louisiana 70161	(504) 310-5884 (504) 310-5877 Fx edavis@ entergy.com
NAESB Alternate	Andrew C. Dotterweich Transmission and Regulatory Strategies	Consumers Energy Co. 212 W. Michigan Avenue Jackson, Michigan 49281	(517) 788-0495 acdottweich@ cmsenergy.com
NERC Representative	Mark E. Fidrych Power Operations Specialist	Western Area Power Administration MC J0003 P.O. Box 3700 Loveland, Colorado 80539-3003	(970) 461-7240 (970) 461-7299 Fx fidrych@wapa.gov
NAESB Representative	Barry Green Director, Markets and Research	Ontario Power Generation Inc. 700 University Avenue, H18 G3 Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X6	(416) 592-7883 (416) 592-8519 Fx barry.green@ opg.com
NERC Representative	R. Scott Henry Director, Regulatory Policy	Duke Power Co. 526 South Church Street P.O. Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006	(704) 382-6182 (704) 382-4671 Fx rshenry@ duke-energy.com
NAESB Alternate	John P. Hughes Vice President, Technical Affairs	Electricity Consumers Resource Council 1333 H Street, N.W. 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20005	(202) 682-1390 (202) 289-6370 Fx jhughes@ elcon.org

NAESB Representative	Alan R. Johnson Manager Business and Reliability Standards, Regulatory Affairs	Mirant Corp. 1155 Perimeter Center West Atlanta, Georgia 30338	(678) 579-3108 (678) 579-7726 Fx alan.r.johnson@mirant.com
NERC Representative	Sam R. Jones Chief Operating Officer	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. 2705 West Lake Drive Taylor, Texas 76574-2136	(512) 248-3177 (512) 248-3095 Fx sjones@ercot.com
ISO/RTO	Bill Limbrick	Independent Electricity System Operator Station A Box 4474 Toronto, Ontario M5W 4E5	(905) 855-6293 (905) 855-6471 Fx bill.limbrick@ieso.ca
NAESB Representative	Lou Oberski Director, Electric Market Policy	Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 120 Tredegar Street P.O. Box 25615 Richmond, Virginia 23219	(804) 787-5714 (804) 787-6473 Fx lou_oberski@dom.com
NAESB Representative	Mary Ellen Paravalos Manager ITC Development	National Grid USA 25 Research Drive Westborough, Massachusetts 01582	(508) 389-3233 (508) 389-3129 Fx mary.ellen.paravalos@us.ngrid.com
NERC Representative	Michael D. Penstone Manager, Standards and Policy	Hydro One Networks, Inc. 483 Bay Street, NT15 Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5	(416) 345-5444 (416) 345-5422 Fx mike.penstone@hydroone.com
IRC Alternate	William C. Phillips Vice President, Interregional Coordination and Policy	Midwest ISO, Inc. 701 City Center Drive Carmel, Indiana 46032	(317) 249-5420 (317) 249-5703 Fx wphillips@midwestiso.org
NAESB Alternate	Tony Reed Project Manager	Southern Company Services, Inc. 600 North 18th Street GS-8260 Birmingham, Alabama 35203	(205) 257-7766 (205) 257-6824 Fx tareed@southernco.com
ISO/RTO	Lisa Szot*	CAISO 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630	916-351-2132 (916) 351-2350 Fx lszot@caiso.com
NAESB Alternate	Thomas Ringenbach Manager, Business Standards	American Electric Power 1 Riverside Plaza 13th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	(614) 223-2679 tjrigenbach@aep.com
ISO/RTO	H. Kent Saathoff Director of System Operations	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. 2705 West Lake Drive Taylor, Texas 76574	(512) 248-3011 (512) 248-3095 Fx ksaathoff@ercot.com
NERC Representative	Edward A. Schwerdt Executive Director	Northeast Power Coordinating Council 1515 Broadway 43rd Floor New York, New York 10036-8901	(212) 840-1070 (212) 302-2782 Fx eschwerdt@npcc.org
NAESB Alternate	Jim R. Templeton Principal	Comprehensive Energy Services, Inc. 2929 Briarpark, Suite 220 Houston, Texas 77042	(713) 759-6999 (713) 781-4966 Fx jrtemplton@aol.com

IRC Alternate	Stephen G. Whitley Vice President, System Operations	ISO New England, Inc. One Sullivan Road Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040-2841	(413) 535-4361 (413) 535-4050 Fx swhitley@ iso-ne.com
NAESB Alternate	Walter L. Yeager Managing Director, Market Development	Cinergy Services Inc. 139 East Fourth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	(513) 419-5711 (513) 419-5511 Fx walt.yeager@ cinergy.com
ISO/RTO	Charles H. Yeung Executive Director, Interregional Affairs	Southwest Power Pool 415 North McKinley Suite 140 Little Rock, Arkansas 72205-3020	(832) 724-6142 cyeung@spp.org
ISO/RTO	Karl Pfirrmann**	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. 800 Cabin Hill Drive Greensburg, PA 15601	(610) 666-3126 (724) 832-6528 Fx pfirrk@pjm.com
IRC Alternate	Diana Darlean Pommen Manager, Business Operations	Alberta Electric System Operator 2500, 330-5 Avenue SW Calagary, Alberta T2P 0L4	(403) 539-2510 (403) 539-2949 Fx diana.pommen@ aeso.ca
Secretary	Gerry W. Cauley Director - Standards	North American Electric Reliability Council 116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731	(609) 452-8060 (609) 452-9550 Fx gerry.cauley@ nerc.net

* Lisa Szot replaces Ed Riley — ISO/RTO

** Karl Pfirrmann replaces Audrey Ziebelman — ISO/RTO



NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

NERC ANTITRUST COMPLIANCE GUIDELINES

I. GENERAL

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition.

It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Antitrust laws are complex and subject to court interpretation that can vary over time and from one court to another. The purpose of these guidelines is to alert NERC participants and employees to potential antitrust problems and to set forth policies to be followed with respect to activities that may involve antitrust considerations. In some instances, the NERC policy contained in these guidelines is stricter than the applicable antitrust laws. Any NERC participant or employee who is uncertain about the legal ramifications of a particular course of conduct or who has doubts or concerns about whether NERC's antitrust compliance policy is implicated in any situation should consult NERC's General Counsel immediately.

II. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES

Participants in NERC activities (including those of its committees and subgroups) should refrain from the following when acting in their capacity as participants in NERC activities (e.g., at NERC meetings, conference calls and in informal discussions):

- Discussions involving pricing information, especially margin (profit) and internal cost information and participants' expectations as to their future prices or internal costs.
- Discussions of a participant's marketing strategies.
- Discussions regarding how customers and geographical areas are to be divided among competitors.
- Discussions concerning the exclusion of competitors from markets.
- Discussions concerning boycotting or group refusals to deal with competitors, vendors or suppliers.

Approved by NERC Board of Trustees, June 14, 2002
Technical revisions, May 13, 2005

III. ACTIVITIES THAT ARE PERMITTED

From time to time decisions or actions of NERC (including those of its committees and subgroups) may have a negative impact on particular entities and thus in that sense adversely impact competition. Decisions and actions by NERC (including its committees and subgroups) should only be undertaken for the purpose of promoting and maintaining the reliability and adequacy of the bulk power system. If you do not have a legitimate purpose consistent with this objective for discussing a matter, please refrain from discussing the matter during NERC meetings and in other NERC-related communications.

You should also ensure that NERC procedures, including those set forth in NERC's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws are followed in conducting NERC business. Other NERC procedures that may be applicable to a particular NERC activity include the following:

- Reliability Standards Process Manual
- Organization and Procedures Manual for the NERC Standing Committees
- System Operator Certification Program

In addition, all discussions in NERC meetings and other NERC-related communications should be within the scope of the mandate for or assignment to the particular NERC committee or subgroup, as well as within the scope of the published agenda for the meeting.

No decisions should be made nor any actions taken in NERC activities for the purpose of giving an industry participant or group of participants a competitive advantage over other participants. In particular, decisions with respect to setting, revising, or assessing compliance with NERC reliability standards should not be influenced by anti-competitive motivations.

Subject to the foregoing restrictions, participants in NERC activities may discuss:

- Reliability matters relating to the bulk power system, including operation and planning matters such as establishing or revising reliability standards, special operating procedures, operating transfer capabilities, and plans for new facilities.
- Matters relating to the impact of reliability standards for the bulk power system on electricity markets, and the impact of electricity market operations on the reliability of the bulk power system.
- Proposed filings or other communications with state or federal regulatory authorities or other governmental entities.
- Matters relating to the internal governance, management and operation of NERC, such as nominations for vacant committee positions, budgeting and assessments, and employment matters; and procedural matters such as planning and scheduling meetings.

Any other matters that do not clearly fall within these guidelines should be reviewed with NERC's General Counsel before being discussed.



NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

NERC — NAESB — ISO/RTO Council

Joint Interface Committee

July 21–22, 2005

Offices of the Northeast Power Coordinating Council
New York, New York

Meeting Minutes

Attendance

NERC	IRC
Linda Campbell, FRCC (JIC Co-Chairman)	Karl Tammar, NYISO (JIC Co-Chairman)
Mark Fidrych, WAPA	Bill Limbrick, IESO [Phone]
Scott Henry, Duke Power	Lisa Szot, California ISO
Sam Jones, ERCOT	Charles Yeung, SPP
Mike Penstone, Hydro One [Phone]	
Ed Schwerdt, NPCC	
Gerry Cauley, NERC (Secretary, Non-Voting)	
NAESB	Observers
Michael Desselle, AEP (JIC Co-Chairman)	Bruce Balmat, MAAC [Phone]
John Anderson, ELCON [Phone]	Ollie Frazier, Duke Power [Phone]
Andy Dotterweich, Consumers [Phone]	John Hughes, ELCON [Phone]
Barry Green, Ontario Power Gen.	Laura Kennedy, NAESB [Phone]
Lou Oberski, Dominion Resources	Rae McQuade, NAESB

Call to Order

Chairman Desselle called the meeting to order.

Membership and Quorum

Members and observers introduced themselves. Chairman Desselle noted the following membership changes:

- NERC: Mike Penstone of Hydro One replaces Ed Tymofichuk of Manitoba Hydro.
- IRC: Karl Phirrmann of PJM replaces Audrey Zibelman of PJM.
- IRC: Lisa Szot of California ISO replaces Ed Riley of California ISO.

Quorum

Secretary Cauley announced that each party had a quorum present to conduct business.

Antitrust Guidelines

Laura Kennedy reviewed the antitrust guidelines.

Prior Meeting Minutes

Linda Campbell moved to approve the January 18, 2005 meeting minutes and the April 29, 2005, conference call minutes. The motion was adopted without objection.

Agenda

The meeting agenda is provided in **Exhibit A**.

R05004 TTC/ATC/AFC/CBM/TRM in Requesting and Scheduling Transmission Service

Barry Green presented a proposal of the NERC Long Term ATC/AFC Task Force (LTATF) to develop a business practice standard on processing transmission service requests, as related to TTC, ATC, AFC, CBM, and TRM.

Barry Green moved that the JIC assign R05004 to NAESB for development.

Several questions were raised in discussion of the request:

- Section 3 — Use of the term "evaluation" implies, or could be confused with, reliability evaluation that is performed by transmission operators and reliability coordinators to determine if the system is secure. A clarification would be to remove the term "evaluation" and use more specific language that explains the intended scope.
- Section 4b — The phrase "ensuring consistent scheduling practices" appears to be too broad, possibly encompassing reliability aspects of transmission scheduling already addressed in existing standards. Omission of Section 4b appears to be an appropriate clarification that the scope of the request is as stated in Section 4a, focused on "processing requests for transmission service".

- Section 7 — A number of the bullets listed within the scope appear to be reliability-focused. Examples of language that could be revised to better clarify the intended scope include:
 - "Determine the quantity of transmission service to be made available."
 - "Use similar models and assumptions."
 - "Use models and assumptions ... that are similar to those used for the planning of the transmission system."

Following the discussion, the JIC agreed by unanimous consent to request the motion be withdrawn and it was.

NAESB will refer the request back to the requester for further clarifications regarding the intended scope. Barry Green, who is on the LTATF, will communicate the JIC's comments and questions to them.

Gerry Cauley noted two related NERC SARs provided in the agenda package for informational purposes. These SARs are currently posted for stakeholder comment and will be submitted to the JIC at the next regular meeting. The proposed NAESB and NERC standards are related to a current FERC Notice of Inquiry.

R05007 Remove References to ERCOT from Version 0 Business Practice Standards

The Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) had submitted Request R05007 to NAESB, proposing to remove references to ERCOT from the Version 0 business practice standards.

Sam Jones moved to assign R05007 to NAESB for development. The motion was approved without objection.

R05018 PSE Optional Approval Rights for E-Tag Transmission Segment

Lou Oberski presented a proposal to revise the E-Tag specification to provide optional approval rights to the PSE associated with each transmission segment of an interchange transaction. The request was submitted by the Joint NERC-NAESB Interchange Scheduling Work Group.

The JIC discussed possible concerns whether the proposed revision would provide 'veto' power over a PSE on any segment of a transaction, noting this could be a market power issue if not correctly implemented. In considering this request, the JIC noted that the request is narrowly defined to include the optional approval rights of a PSE on an E-Tag and does not address other aspects of the E-Tag specification.

Lou Oberski moved to assign R05018 to NAESB for development. The motion was approved without objection.

SAR on Nuclear Plant Offsite Power Reliability

Gerry Cauley presented a SAR proposing a standard on Nuclear Plant Offsite Power Reliability. The SAR had been posted for two rounds of stakeholder comments. There was support in the comments of the reliability need for the proposed standard. Minority views, which the SAC felt were adequately addressed by the drafting team, were: a) the requirements for reliable offsite power to nuclear plants can be addressed in agreements, and b) there may be a shifting of responsibilities or costs from the nuclear plant to the transmission owner/operator. The requester is the Nuclear Energy Institute Grid Reliability Task Force. The request was sparked in part by an initiative of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission inquiring how to ensure grid reliability is sufficient to meet nuclear safety licensing requirements.

Linda Campbell moved to assign the SAR on Nuclear Offsite Power Reliability to NERC for development. The motion was approved without objection.

Functional Model and Regional Reliability Plans

Linda Campbell, Mark Fidrych, and Gerry Cauley reviewed the status of functional model revisions and a guide for regional reliability plans. They also noted that the Standards Authorization Committee has directed drafting teams to develop standards based on the reliability coordinator in lieu of the reliability authority.

Review of JIC Process

The JIC reviewed its performance since the adoption of the revised Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on May 15, 2003. The MOU calls for an annual review stating:

The Parties agree to meet annually during the anniversary month of the signing of this MOU to evaluate in good faith the effectiveness and efficiency of this MOU in meeting the goal of coordinating the standards and policy development-related activities of the three organizations and to make any appropriate revisions.

In its discussion, the JIC considered the following questions:

1. Has the JIC been successful in achieving its mission of assigning standards "to avoid overlap and duplication of effort in the activities of the three organizations"? What can be done to improve that performance?
2. Are standards requests presented to the JIC with sufficient completeness and clarity to understand the scope of the proposed standard and where it should be assigned? If not, what can be done to improve the completeness and clarity of requests?
3. Has the JIC been able to establish a basis, through experiential precedence, for determining which proposed standards should be reliability standards, business practice standards, and ISO/RTO market protocols? Is the distinction between reliability, business practice, and ISO/RTO protocol sufficiently clear for the JIC to be effective?

4. Has the JIC been successful in not overstepping its authority by basing its decisions on the technical merits or need for a proposed standard, which are issues to be addressed within the respective standards processes?
5. Is there an issue if the scope of a proposed standard changes in development after the request has been assigned by the JIC ('standard scope creep')? Has this been the case? Should changes in scope be subject to review by the JIC?
6. Has the assignment of standards requests allowed NERC to retain a 'complete set' of reliability standards to be used in its compliance program? Is achieving the 'complete set' necessary for reliability an issue?
7. Has the JIC been effective in coordinating annual work plans of the three parties?
8. Are there any revisions to the MOU that would be necessary and appropriate based on JIC performance and results over the past two years?

Following the discussion, the JIC formulated the following statement summarizing the results:

The JIC conducted a review of its performance in achieving its mission of assigning standards for development "to avoid overlap and duplication of effort in the activities of the three organizations". Overall, the JIC believes that the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and the JIC process have been effective in coordinating the assignment of standards for development among the parties to the MOU.

The following areas for improvement were identified:

- *The need to improve the completeness and clarity of standards requests to enable the JIC to make informed assignments to the appropriate standards organizations.*
- *A perception that some standards are developed that exceed the scope of the request assigned by JIC to a standards organization.*

The JIC agreed on the following measures to improve the JIC process, as related to the development of standards for the wholesale electric industry:

- *Each organization is requested to ensure that standards requests are of sufficient completeness and quality to allow the JIC to make an informed decision.*
- *The JIC will communicate, along with its assignments of standards requests, the rationale and considerations of the JIC in making those decisions. The JIC member organizations will remind stakeholders that once an assignment is made, it is incumbent upon the stakeholders to work on that standard within the process of the assigned organization.*
- *The JIC will review instances in which there are significant concerns regarding the possibility that a standard in development has exceeded the scope of a request assigned by the JIC. The JIC will consider its rationale for the initial assignment when reviewing such a case and communicate the results of that review to the organizations.*
- *The JIC will be receptive to concerns about the coordination of standards development among the organizations and help to facilitate resolution of those concerns.*

On motion by Mark Fidrych, the JIC approved the above statement without objection.

Future Meetings

The JIC set its next meeting for October 5, 2005, from 8 a.m. to 3 p.m. in the Dominion offices at 120 Tredegar Street in Richmond, Virginia.

Suggested agenda items include:

- NERC TLR SAR (with the status of the NAESB related standard for information)
- TTC/ATC/ATF/CBM/TRM SARs from NERC and business practice requests from NAESB
- Rounding request

Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned by unanimous consent.

Item 2. NAESB Requests for Business Practice Standards

We do not have any NAESB requests for this meeting.

Item 3. NERC Standards Authorization Requests

Action

Assign to NERC for standards drafting.

Attachment

Standards Authorization Request, “Provide Missing Measures and Compliance Elements in Existing Standards”

Background

Excerpt from Standards Authorization Request:

“The translation to Version 0 Reliability Standards was limited to include only existing requirements, measures and compliance elements. The CCMC has reviewed the Version 0 standards and identified many existing reliability standards that are missing measures and compliance elements. Revisions to these standards are needed to complete the missing elements defined in the Reliability Standards Process Manual and to enable inclusion of these standards in the Compliance Monitoring Program.

“During the postings of Version 0 Standards, many commenters expressed concern with the missing compliance elements. The Version 0 Standard Drafting Team recommended those compliance elements be developed as a high priority after approval of the Version 0 Standards. The measures along with associated compliance elements need to be added or revised to enable clearer and more consistent interpretation and application.”

When completed, email to: gerry.cauley@nerc.net

Standard Authorization Request Form

Title of Proposed Standard	Provide Missing Measures and Compliance Elements in Existing Standards.
Request Date	March 30, 2005

SAR Requestor Information	SAR Type (Put an 'x' in front of one of these selections)
Name Compliance and Certification Managers Committee (CCMC)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Standard
Primary Contact Ron Ciesiel, Vice Chair CCMC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revision to existing Standard
Telephone Fax	<input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal of existing Standard
E-mail	<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent Action

Purpose/Industry Need (Provide one or two sentences)

The translation to Version 0 Reliability Standards was limited to include only existing requirements, measures and compliance elements. The CCMC has reviewed the Version 0 standards and identified many existing reliability standards that are missing measures and compliance elements. Revisions to these standards are needed to complete the missing elements defined in the Reliability Standards Process Manual and to enable inclusion of these standards in the Compliance Monitoring Program.

During the postings of Version 0 Standards, many commenters expressed concern with the missing compliance elements. The Version 0 Standard Drafting Team recommended those compliance elements be developed as a high priority after approval of the Version 0 Standards.

The measures along with associated compliance elements need to be added or revised to enable clearer and more consistent interpretation and application.

Reliability Functions

The Standard will Apply to the Following Functions (Check box for each one that applies by double clicking the grey boxes.)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reliability Authority	Ensures the reliability of the bulk transmission system within its Reliability Authority area. This is the highest reliability authority.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Balancing Authority	Integrates resource plans ahead of time, and maintains load-interchange-resource balance within its metered boundary and supports system frequency in real time
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interchange Authority	Authorizes valid and balanced Interchange Schedules
<input type="checkbox"/>	Planning Authority	Plans the bulk electric system
<input type="checkbox"/>	Resource Planner	Develops a long-term (>1year) plan for the resource adequacy of specific loads within a Planning Authority area.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Planner	Develops a long-term (>1 year) plan for the reliability of transmission systems within its portion of the Planning Authority area.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Service Provider	Provides transmission services to qualified market participants under applicable transmission service agreements
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Owner	Owns transmission facilities
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Operator	Operates and maintains the transmission facilities, and executes switching orders
<input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution Provider	Provides and operates the “wires” between the transmission system and the customer
<input type="checkbox"/>	Generator Owner	Owns and maintains generation unit(s)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Generator Operator	Operates generation unit(s) and performs the functions of supplying energy and Interconnected Operations Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Purchasing-Selling Entity	The function of purchasing or selling energy, capacity and all necessary Interconnected Operations Services as required
<input type="checkbox"/>	Market Operator	Integrates energy, capacity, balancing, and transmission resources to achieve an economic, reliability-constrained dispatch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Load-Serving Entity	Secures energy and transmission (and related generation services) to serve the end user

Reliability and Market Interface Principles

Applicable Reliability Principles (Check boxes for all that apply by double clicking the grey boxes.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Interconnected bulk electric systems shall be planned and operated in a coordinated manner to perform reliably under normal and abnormal conditions as defined in the NERC Standards.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. The frequency and voltage of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be controlled within defined limits through the balancing of real and reactive power supply and demand.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. Information necessary for the planning and operation of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4. Plans for emergency operation and system restoration of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be developed, coordinated, maintained and implemented.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. Facilities for communication, monitoring and control shall be provided, used and maintained for the reliability of interconnected bulk electric systems.
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk electric systems shall be trained, qualified, and have the responsibility and authority to implement actions.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. The security of the interconnected bulk electric systems shall be assessed, monitored and maintained on a wide area basis.
Does the proposed Standard comply with all of the following Market Interface Principles? (Select 'yes' or 'no' from the drop-down box by double clicking the grey area.)	
1. The planning and operation of bulk electric systems shall recognize that reliability is an essential requirement of a robust North American economy. Yes	
2. An Organization Standard shall not give any market participant an unfair competitive advantage. Yes	
3. An Organization Standard shall neither mandate nor prohibit any specific market structure. Yes	
4. An Organization Standard shall not preclude market solutions to achieving compliance with that Standard. Yes	
5. An Organization Standard shall not require the public disclosure of commercially sensitive information. All market participants shall have equal opportunity to access commercially non-sensitive information that is required for compliance with reliability standards. Yes	

Detailed Description (Provide enough detail so that an independent entity familiar with the industry could draft, modify, or withdraw a Standard based on this description.)

The translation to Version 0 Reliability Standards was limited to include only existing requirements, measures and compliance elements. The CCMC has reviewed the Version 0 standards and identified many existing reliability standards that are missing measures and compliance elements. Revisions to these standards are needed to complete the missing elements defined in the Reliability Standards Process Manual and to enable inclusion of these standards in the Compliance Monitoring Program.

The CCMC recognizes the amount of work that would be required of the industry to revise these reliability standards and as part of this SAR is suggesting a staged work plan. The CCMC also recognizes that the development of reliability standards already underway may impact the need for revision to these existing standards.

The full list of the reliability standards proposed for revision to include compliance elements is as follows:

- CIP-001-0 Sabotage Reporting
- COM-001-0 Telecommunications
- COM-002-0 Communications and Coordination
- EOP-002-0 Capacity and Energy Emergencies
- EOP-003-0 Load Shedding Plans
- EOP-004-0 Disturbance Reporting
- EOP-006-0 Reliability Coordination – System Restoration
- INT-001-0 Interchange Transaction Tagging
- INT-002-0 Interchange Transaction Tag Communication and Assessment
- INT-003-0 Interchange Transaction Implementation
- IRO-001-0 Reliability Coordination – Responsibilities and Authorities
- IRO-002-0 Reliability Coordination – Facilities
- IRO-003-0 Reliability Coordination – Wide Area View
- IRO-005-0 Reliability Coordination – Current Day Operations
- PER-004-0 Reliability Coordination – Staffing
- PRC-001-0 System Protection Coordination
- TOP-001-0 Reliability Responsibilities and Authorities
- TOP-002-0 Normal Operations Planning
- TOP-004-0 Transmission Operations
- TOP-006-0 Monitoring System Conditions
- TOP-008-0 Response to Transmission Limit Violations
- VAR-001-0 Voltage and Reactive Control

We suggest the following plan for revision of these standards to allow implementation into the compliance program in a staged fashion. For the standards indicated for revision in 2006 and beyond, the CCMC expects to review this annually and submit a SAR for a revised scope if necessary.

Reliability Standards to revise in 2005 for implementation in 2006:

CIP-001-0, VAR-001-0, EOP-002-0, TOP-002-0

Reliability Standards to revise in 2006 for implementation in 2007:

IRO-001-0, IRO-002-0, IRO-003-0, IRO-005-0, PER-004-0, TOP-001-0

Reliability Standards to revise in 2007 for implementation in 2008:

PRC-001-0, COM-001-0, COM-002-0, EOP-003-0, EOP-006-0, TOP-004-0, TOP-008-0

Reliability Standards to revise in 2008 for implementation in 2009:

EOP-004-0, INT-001-0, INT-002-0, INT-003-0, TOP-006-0

In all cases, the focus of the revision should be the inclusion of the missing information; however, the entire standard should be reviewed to ensure that the existing requirements and measures meet the definitions identified in the NERC Reliability Standards Process Manual.

Related Standards

Standard No.	Explanation
	Please see the detailed description included in this SAR.

Related SARs

SAR ID	Explanation

Regional Differences

Region	Explanation
ECAR	
ERCOT	

FRCC	
MAAC	
MAIN	
MAPP	
NPCC	
SERC	
SPP	
WECC	

Related NERC Operating Policies or Planning Standards

ID	Explanation

Item 4. Other Business

- a. Expected Standards Authorization and Business Practices Requests
- b. Future Meetings and Conference Calls
- c. Other Business

The JIC will discuss upcoming Standards Authorization Requests and requests for business practice standards. That will help us establish the date for our next meeting.