

Questions for the NAESB Inadvertent Interchange Payback Task Force

- Will the entire interconnection be required to participate in the market/process that the IPTF is discussing?
 - If not,
 - What will be done to address the cash shortfall and/or leftover inadvertent (energy will flow out of the market when prices are high and into the market when prices are low)?
 - Will inadvertent be settled across hours (a balance for one hour that couldn't be cashed out, cashed out in a following hour)?
 - How will bilateral payback be accommodated?
 - If so,
 - Who will put the infrastructure and process in place (hourly accounting, data validation and resolution, dispute resolution [when \$s involved, there will be disputes] ETF, credit risk management [or how you will handle defaults, shortfalls and late payments])?
 - What are the safeguards to keep this from being a means to circumvent congestion?
- Isn't one reason this task force was created based on the decision in the NERC arena that Inadvertent (within certain bounds) is not a reliability issue? The existing NERC policy will sunset under the new SAR process. Is the IPTF taking ownership of the existing Policy 1F and Appendix 1F such that the current NERC practices will be rolled into the NAESB standard?
- What happens to existing balances when the process starts?

Respectfully,

Terry Bilke
Midwest ISO