

**Coordinate Interchange Business Practices (CIBP)**  
**NAESB Standards Request #R03013**  
**Notes from SRS Meeting of**  
**July 10, 2003**  
**Colorado Springs, CO**

**The NERC Coordinate Interchange Standard addresses:**

***Reliability needs***

Applicable for Inter-BA transactions. Coordinate Interchange Standard requirements apply ONLY between the Interchange Authority and the Balancing Authority (per the NERC Functional Model).

NERC SAR requirements:

1. MW magnitude
2. Ramp start and stop times
3. Interchange transaction duration
4. Sufficient info for all approval entities

NERC does not include the “how” the above data is communicated.

**The NAESB Coordinate Interchange Business Standard(s) should include:**

***Commercial Needs***

- Responsible Party for submitting data, Who needs to receive the data?
- Data Format
- Timing requirements of data
  - o Submittals
  - o Adjustments
  - o Approvals
  - o On and off peak requirements needed?

Concerns to be addressed by the NAESB CIBP Task Force

- Are these requirements in addition to existing tag info (per NERC Policy 3)?
- Will these requirements be like NERC Policy 3 or more for future Electronic Scheduling OASIS II?
- What about Regional Market requirements vs. interconnection wide requirements?
- What should be the appropriate scope of the CIBP standard (who does it affect per the NERC Functional Model)?
- Which requirements should be global/universal and which ones should be more market/region driven?
- What about Seams issues? Re: ESC use cases. Should these be considered or included (whole or in part) in the NAESB standard to complement NERC Coordinate Interchange?

SRS “*Suggested*” Directions to the CIBP Task Force

- Priority of NAESB standard drafting - should be to complement NERC Standard interchange between BAs.
- Ensure commerce is not negatively impacted (by implementation of new NERC Standard).
- Intra-BA, intra-market seams issues could be affected but these are secondary concerns.
- Restrict from addressing internal market timing issues.
- Products/type of interchange affects data and timing requirements. CIBP should investigate whether it should be product driven or not? (e.g.- reserve sharing “transactions”)

**CIBP Task Force Members (initial)**

Roman Carter (Southern Company Generation)

Alan Johnson (Mirant Americas)

Narinder K. Saini (Entergy Services Inc.)

Ontario Power Generation (name to be provided by Barry Green)